1 2	NYE STIRLING HALE & MILLER, I Jonathan D. Miller (CA 220848) Alison M. Bernal (CA 264629)	LLP		
3	jonathan@nshmlaw.com			
3	alison@nshmlaw.com			
4	33 West Mission St., Suite 201			
5	Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Talanhana (805) 063 2345			
6	Telephone: (805) 963-2345 Facsimile: (805) 284-9590			
7	Additional Counsel listed on signature page Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class			
8				
9				
10				
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12	MEGAN SCHMITT, DEANA	Case No. 8:17-cv-01397-JVS-JDE		
13	REILLY, CAROL ORLOWSKY, and STEPHANIE MILLER BRUN,	PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF		
14	individually and on behalf of	MOTION AND MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS		
15	themselves and all others similarly situated,	ACTION SETTLEMENT		
16	Plaintiffs,	Filed Concurrently With Motion for		
17	V.	Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Supplemental Declaration		
18	YOUNIQUE, LLC,	Settlement, Supplemental Declaration of Adam Gonnelli, Supplemental Declaration of Michael E. Hamer		
19	Defendant.			
20		DATE: April 6, 2020		
21		TIME: 1:30 p.m.		
22		DEPT: 10C		
		Complaint Filed: 8/17/17		
23		C		
24	TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AN	ND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF		
25	RECORD:			
26	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on	April 6, 2020, at 1:30 p.m., before the		
27	Honorable James V. Selna in Courtroom 10C of the Santa Ana Courthouse, 411			
28	PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF			
	TLAINTIE'S NOTICE OF MOTION A	IND INOTION FOR FINAL ACCROVAL OF		

CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

1	West 4th Street, Santa Ana, CA 92701, Plaintiffs Megan Schmitt, Deana Reilly, and			
2	Stephanie Miller-Brun ("Plaintiffs") will move this Court for an Order finally			
3	3 certifying the Settlement Class and approvi	certifying the Settlement Class and approving the proposed class action settlement		
4	4 (the "[Proposed] Final Approval Order and	(the "[Proposed] Final Approval Order and Judgment," attached as Exhibit A and		
5	5 ECF No. 258-1).			
6	In support of this motion, Plaintiffs rely upon the accompanying			
7	Memorandum of Law; the accompanying Declarations of Michael E. Hamer and			
8	Adam Gonnelli in support of Plaintiffs' motion; the supporting exhibits to the			
9	foregoing declarations; all the pleadings and documents on file in this action; and			
10	such other matters as may be presented at or before the hearing.			
11	Dated: March 6, 2020 NYE,	STIRLING, HALE & MILLER, LLP		
12	BV: /S	-/		
13	$\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{J}_{0} \\ \mathbf{A}_{1} \end{vmatrix}$	nathan D. Miller, Esq. ison M. Bernal, Esq. W. Mission Street, Suite 201		
14	Sa Sa	nta Barbara, CA 93101		
15	<u>jo</u>	elephone: (805) 963-2345 hathan@nshmlaw.com		
16	Dotad: March 6, 2020 THE S	son@nshmlaw.com ULTZER LAW GROUP P.C.		
17		,		
18	A os	dam Gonnelli, Esq. Civic Center Plaza, Suite 200		
19		oughkeepsie, NY 12601 el: (845) 483-7100 onnellia@thesultzerlawgroup.com		
20		nnellia@thesultzerlawgroup.com		
21	Dated: March 6, 2020 WALS	H, LLC		
22	$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$: $\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}$	onner Walsh		
23	\mathbf{w}	AI SH PI I C		
24		61 Long Haul Road rangeville, ID 83530 elephone: (541) 359-2827 csimile: (866) 503-8206 nner@walshpllc.com		
25	Fa	csimile: (866) 503-8206		
26		mier & waisiiphe.com		
27	Alle	orneys for Plaintiffs and the Class		
28	PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF			
- 1	CLASS ACTION			

CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3

1

2

4 5

6 7

8 9

v.

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

22

23

21

24 25

26

27

28

MEGAN SCHMITT, DEANA REILLY, CAROL ORLOWSKY, and STEPHANIE MILLER BRUN, individually and on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

YOUNIQUE, LLC, Defendant. Case No. 8:17-cv-01397-JVS-JDE

[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

On September 24, 2019, this Court granted the motion of Plaintiffs Megan Schmitt, Deana Reilly, and Stephanie Miller-Brun ("Plaintiffs") for preliminary approval of the Class Settlement Agreement ("Agreement")¹ and certification of the Settlement Class (as defined below). See ECF No. 256.

Commencing on October 23, 2019, pursuant to the notice requirements in the Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order, Heffler Claims Group (the "Settlement Administrator") began providing notice to Settlement Class members in compliance with Exhibit C to the Settlement Agreement and the Notice Plan, due process, and Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The notice:

(a) fully informed Settlement Class members about the Action and the existence and terms of the Agreement;

¹ Capitalized terms used in this Final Approval Order shall have the same meaning as defined in the Agreement unless otherwise expressly stated.

(b) advised Settlement Class members of their right to request exclusion from 1 the settlement and provided sufficient information so that Settlement Class 2 members were able to decide whether to accept the settlement, opt-out and 3 pursue their own remedies, or object to the proposed settlement; 4 5 (c) provided procedures for Settlement Class members to file written 6 objections to the proposed settlement, to appear at the Final Approval hearing, 7 and to state objections to the proposed settlement; and 8 9 (d) provided the time, date, and place of the Final Approval hearing. 10 11 _____, the Court held a Final Approval hearing to determine 12 whether the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate and whether 13 judgment should be entered dismissing this Action with prejudice. The Court 14 reviewed (a) the Motion for Final Approval (the "Motion") and all supporting 15 materials, including but not limited to the Settlement Agreement; (b) Plaintiffs' 16 Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Reimbursement of Litigation Expenses to 17 Class Counsel and Service Awards, and all supporting materials; (c) any objections 18 filed with or presented to the Court; and (d) the parties' responses to any objections. 19 The Court also considered the oral argument of counsel and any objectors who 20 21 appeared. Based on this review and the findings below, the Court finds good cause to order the following. 22 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: 23 The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action, all 1. 24 claims raised therein, and all parties thereto, including the Settlement Class. 25 2. The Agreement is fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of 26

27

Settlement Class members. The Agreement was negotiated over a period of almost a year at arm's length, in good faith and without collusion, by capable and experienced counsel, with full knowledge of the facts, the law, and the risks inherent in litigating the Action, and with the active involvement of the parties. Moreover, the Agreement confers substantial benefits on the Settlement Class members, is not contrary to the public interest, and will provide the parties with repose from litigation. The parties faced significant risks, expense, and uncertainty from continued litigation of this matter, which further supports the Court's conclusion that the settlement is fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class members.

- 3. The Court grants final approval of the Agreement in full, including but not limited to the releases therein and the procedures for distribution of the Settlement Fund. All Settlement Class members who have not excluded themselves from the Settlement Class are bound by this Final Approval Order and Judgment.
- 4. The parties shall carry out their respective obligations under the Agreement in accordance with its terms. The relief provided for in the Agreement shall be made available to the various Settlement Class members submitting valid Claim forms, pursuant to the terms and conditions in the Agreement. The Agreement is incorporated herein in its entirety as if fully set forth herein and shall have the same force and effect of an order of this Court.

OBJECTIONS AND REQUESTS FOR EXCLUSION

5. ____ objections to the Settlement were filed by Settlement Class members. The Court has considered all objections and finds that they do not warrant or support rejection or non-approval of the Settlement. All objections are hereby overruled in all respects. All persons who did not object to the Settlement in the manner set forth in the Agreement are deemed to have waived any objections, including but not limited to by appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.

6. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a list of persons who made valid and timely requests to be excluded from the Settlement and the Settlement Class (the "Opt-Out Members"). The Opt-Out Members are not bound by the Agreement and this Final Approval Order and shall not be entitled to any of the benefits afforded to Settlement Class members under the Agreement.

CERTIFICATION OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS

7. Solely for purposes of the Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Court hereby certifies the following Settlement Class:

All persons that purchased Younique's original Moodstruck 3D Fiber Lashes between October 2012 and July 2015 while residing in California, Ohio, Florida, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, or Washington. The Settlement Class specifically excludes: (a) Younique's officers, directors, employees and attorneys; (b) governmental entities; (c) the Court, the Court's immediate family, and the Court staff; and (d) any person that timely and properly excludes himself or herself from the Settlement Class.

- 8. The Court incorporates its preliminary conclusions in the Preliminary Approval Order (ECF No. 256) regarding the satisfaction of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b). Because the Settlement Class is certified solely for purposes of settlement, the Court need not address any issues of manageability for litigation purposes.
- 9. The Court grants final approval to the appointment of Plaintiffs Megan Schmitt, Deana Reilly, and Stephanie Miller-Brun as the Class Representatives and concludes that they have fairly and adequately represented the Settlement Class and shall continue to do so.

- 10. The Court grants final approval to the appointment of The Sultzer Law Group P.C., Nye Peabody Stirling Hale & Miller LLP, Walsh PLLC, and Carlson Lynch Sweet Kilpela & Carpenter, LLP as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class.
- 11. The Settlement Class described above is certified solely for the purpose of the settlement described in the Agreement. The Court finds and orders that defendant Younique, LLC ("Younique") has not conceded that this Action or any similar case is amenable to class certification for purposes of litigation, and orders that nothing in this Final Order or in the Agreement shall prevent Younique or Plaintiffs from opposing or supporting class certification, or seeking decertification, if this Final Order approving the Agreement is reversed or invalidated, on appeal or otherwise, for any reason.

NOTICE TO THE CLASS

- 12. The Court finds that the Notice Plan, set forth in the Agreement and effectuated pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order: (i) was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (ii) was reasonably calculated to provide, and did provide, due and sufficient notice to the Settlement Class regarding the existence and nature of the Action, certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only, the existence and terms of the Agreement, and the rights of Settlement Class members to exclude themselves from the Agreement, to object and appear at the Final Approval hearing, and to receive benefits under the Agreement; and (iii) satisfied the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution, and all other applicable law.
- 13. The Court finds that Younique has satisfied the notice requirements of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1715.

ATTORNEYS' FEES, EXPENSES AND SERVICE AWARDS

14. The Court awards Class Counsel \$_____ in fees and

	reimbursement of \$ in expenses. The Court finds these amounts to
	be fair and reasonable. Payment shall be made from the Qualified Settlement Fund
	pursuant to the procedures in Sections IV.A.1, X.A. and X.B of the Agreement.
	15. The Court awards Class Representatives Megan Schmitt, Deana Reilly,
	and Stephanie Miller-Brun \$ each as a Service Award. The Court awards
	Kirsten Bowers, the named Plaintiff in the parallel <i>Bowers</i> action that was resolved
	as part of the Agreement, \$ as a Service Award. The Court awards
	Settlement Class members Breanna Kelly, Aschley Willey and Maegan Nelson
	\$ each as a Service Award. The Court finds these amounts to be justified
	by these individuals' service to the Settlement Class. Payment shall be made from
	the Settlement Fund pursuant to the procedures in Sections IV.A.1 and X.C of the
l	Δ greement

RELEASES

16. The Court releases and forever discharges the Released Persons from each of the Released Claims, as provided in the Agreement. The Releasors are permanently barred and enjoined from instituting, maintaining, or prosecuting, either directly or indirectly, any litigation that asserts the Released Claims. This permanent bar and injunction is necessary to protect and effectuate the Agreement, this Final Approval Order, and the Court's authority to effectuate the Agreement, and is ordered in aid of this Court's jurisdiction and to protect its judgments. The Released Claims shall be construed as broadly as possible to ensure complete finality over this Action involving the advertising, labeling, and marketing of the Products as set forth herein. The full terms of the release described in this paragraph are set forth in Sections II.V, II.W, and IX.A-G of the Agreement and are specifically approved and incorporated herein by this reference (the "Release"). In addition, Plaintiffs and each Settlement Class member are deemed to have waived (i) the provisions of California Civil Code § 1542, which provides that a general release does not extend to claims that the

creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release and that, if known by him or her, would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party, and (ii) any similar federal or state laws, rights, rules, or legal principle that is similar, comparable, or equivalent to California Civil Code § 1542.

OTHER PROVISIONS

- 17. Pursuant to Sections IV.A.1 of the Agreement, the Settlement Fund, consisting of Three Million, Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$3,250,000.00), shall be used to pay: (i) any necessary taxes and tax expenses, if any; (ii) all costs and expenses associated with Class Notice, including but not limited to all fees and expenses of the Settlement Administrator; (iii) all costs and expenses associated with the administration of the Settlement, including but not limited to all fees and expenses of the Settlement Administrator; (iv) any Attorneys' Fees award made by the Court to Class Counsel pursuant to Section X(A) of the Agreement; (v) any award of Expenses made by the Court to Class Counsel pursuant to Section X(B) of the Agreement; (vi) any Service Awards made by the Court to Plaintiffs under Section X(C) of the Agreement; (vii) cash payments distributed to Settlement Class members who have submitted timely, valid, and approved claims pursuant to the claims process outlined in Section V; and (viii) the Residual Funds, if any, pursuant to Section V(L) of the Agreement.
- 18. If any monies remain in the Settlement Fund after the payments described herein in Paragraph 17, and the expiration of checks mailed to members of the Settlement Class, it shall be called the Residual Fund. Any value remaining in the Residual Fund shall increase eligible Settlement Class members' relief on a *pro rata* basis until the Residual Fund is exhausted, unless the parties mutually agree that a supplemental distribution is economically infeasible. Should the parties mutually agree that a supplemental distribution is economically infeasible, then the parties will

meet and confer in good faith to reach an agreement on a *cy pres* recipient approved by the Court. If the parties are unable to reach an agreement on a *cy pres* recipient, then Younique, on the one hand, and Plaintiffs, on the other hand, may submit alternative proposals for the *cy pres* recipient to the Court and the Court will select the recipient.

- 19. Subject to the terms and conditions of the Agreement, the Court enters an injunction against Younique requiring it to comply with the requirements in Paragraph IV.B.1 of the Agreement.
- 20. The Agreement and this Final Approval Order, and all documents, supporting materials, representations, statements and proceedings relating to the Agreement, are not, and shall not be construed as, used as, or deemed evidence of, any admission by or against Younique of: (a) liability, fault, wrongdoing, or violation of any law, (b) the validity or certifiability for litigation purposes of the Settlement Class, (c) the strength of any of the claims or allegations in the Complaint or any other claims that could have been asserted in the Action, or (d) the infirmity of any defenses to Plaintiffs' claims or allegations.
- 21. The Agreement and this Final Approval Order, and all documents, supporting materials, representations, statements and proceedings relating to the Settlement, shall not be offered or received into evidence, and are not admissible into evidence, in any action or proceeding, except that the Agreement and this Final Approval Order may be filed in any action by Younique or the Settlement Class members seeking to enforce the Agreement or the Final Approval Order. This Final Approval Order and the Agreement may be pleaded as a full and complete defense to any action, suit, or other proceedings that has been or may be instituted, prosecuted or attempted against the Released Parties in such capacity with respect to any of the Released Claims, and may be filed, offered, received into evidence, and otherwise used for such defense.

 -8-

1	22. The Court hereby dismisses the Action in its entirety with prejudice, and	
2	without fees or costs except as otherwise provided for herein.	
3	23. Without affecting the finality of this Final Approval Order, the Court will	
4	retain jurisdiction over this Action and the parties with respect to the interpretation,	
5	implementation and enforcement of the Agreement for all purposes.	
6	24. Pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, there is	
7	no just reason for delay in the entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment and	
8	immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.	
9	NOW, THEREFORE, the Court hereby enters judgment in this matter	
0	pursuant to Rule 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.	
1		
2	SO ORDERED this day of, 2019.	
3		
4		
5	Honorable James V. Selna	
6	United States District Judge	
7		
8		
9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	-9-	
28	[DDODOSED] FINAL ADDDOVAL	